

## 8: Polynomial Regression

So far we've mainly been dealing with linear regression


## Quadratic Regression

It's trivial to do linear fits of fixed nonlinear basis functions


## Quadratic Rearession



## Qth-degree polynomial Regression



## m inputs, degree Q: how many terms?

$=$ the number of unique terms of the form

$$
x_{1}^{q_{1}} x_{2}^{q_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{q_{m}} \text { where } \sum_{i=1} q_{i} \leq Q
$$

$=$ the number of unique terms of the form

$$
1^{q_{0}} x_{1}^{q_{1}} x_{2}^{q_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{q_{m}} \text { where } \sum_{i=0} q_{i}=Q
$$

$=$ the number of lists of non-negative ${ }^{i=0}$ integers $\left[q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, . . q_{m}\right]$ in which $\Sigma q_{i}=$ Q
= the number of ways of placing Q red disks on a row of squares of length $\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{m})$-choose- Q


$$
q_{0}=2 \quad q_{1}=2 \quad q_{2}=0 \quad q_{3}=4 \quad q_{4}=3
$$






## Radial Basis Functions in 2-d








LOESS-based Robust Regression


## LOESS-based Robust Regression

 . After the initial fit, score each datapoint according to how well it's fitted...

LOESS-based Robust Regression - After the initial fit, score




## Robust Regression---what we're doing

## What regular regression does:

Assume $y_{k}$ was originally generated using the following recipe:

$$
y_{k}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{k}+\beta_{2} x_{k}^{2}+N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

Computational task is to find the Maximum Likelihood $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$

## Robust Regression---what we're doing

## What LOESS robust regression does:

Assume $y_{k}$ was originally generated using the following recipe:

With probability $p$ :

$$
y_{k}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{k}+\beta_{2} x_{k}^{2}+N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

But otherwise

$$
y_{k} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma_{\text {huge }}{ }^{2}\right)
$$

Computational task is to find the Maximum Likelihood $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, p, \mu$ and $\sigma_{\text {huge }}$

## Robust Regression---what we're doing

What LOESS robust regression does:
Assume $y_{k}$ was originally generated using th Mysteriously, the following recipe:

With probability $p$ :

$$
y_{k}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{k}+\beta_{2} x_{k}^{2}+N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

But otherwise

$$
y_{k} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma_{\text {huge }}{ }^{2}\right)
$$



Computational task is to find the Maximum Likelihood $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, p, \mu$ and $\sigma_{\text {huge }}$

## 5: Regression Trees <br> - "Decision trees for regression"

## A regression tree leaf



## A one-split regression tree



## Choosing the attribute to split on



- We can't use information gain.
- What should we use?


## Choosing the attribute to split on

| Gender | Rich? | Num. <br> Children | Num. Beany <br> Babies | Age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | No | 2 | 1 | 38 |
| Male | No | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Male | Yes | 0 | $5+$ | 72 |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |

$\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})=$ The expected squared error if we must predict a record's $Y$ value given only knowledge of the record's $X$ value
If we're told $x=j$, the smallest expected error comes from predicting the mean of the $Y$-values among those records in which $x=j$. Call this mean quantity $\mu_{y}{ }^{x=j}$
Then...

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(Y \mid X)=\frac{1}{R} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{X}} \sum_{\left(k \text { such that } t_{k}=j\right)}\left(y_{k}-\mu_{y}^{x=j}\right)^{2}
$$

## Choosing the attribute to split on

| Gender | Rich? | Num. | Num. Beany | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | Regression tree attribute selection: greedily choose the attribute that minimizes MSE(Y\|X) Guess what we do about real-valued inputs? <br> Guess how we prevent overfitting |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})=$ The expected squared error if we must predict a record's Y value given only knowledge of the record's $X$ value
If we're told $x=j$, the smallest expected error comes from predicting the mean of the $Y$-values among those records in which $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{j}$. Call this mean quantity $\mu_{y}{ }^{x}=j$
Then...

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(Y \mid X)=\frac{1}{R} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{X}} \sum_{(k \text { such thatix }}\left(y_{k}-\mu_{y}^{x=j}\right)^{2}
$$

## Pruning Decision


$=56712$
Mean age among POFs = 39
Age std dev among POFs = 12
Mean age among POMs $=36$
Age std dev among POMs $=11.5$
Use a standard Chi-squared test of the nullhypothesis "these two populations have the same mean" and Bob's your uncle.

## Linear RegressionTrees

Also known as "Model Trees"



## Test your understanding

Assuming regular regression trees, can you sketch a graph of the fitted function $y^{e s t}(x)$ over this diagram?


## Test your understanding

Assuming linear regression trees, can you sketch a graph of the fitted function $y^{\text {est }}(x)$ over this diagram?


## 4: GMDH (c.f. BACON, AIM)

- Group Method Data Handling
- A very simple but very good idea:

1. Do linear regression
2. Use cross-validation to discover whether any quadratic term is good. If so, add it as a basis function and loop.
3. Use cross-validation to discover whether any of a set of familiar functions (log, exp, sin etc) applied to any previous basis function helps. If so, add it as a basis function and loop.
4. Else stop

## GMDH (c.f. BACON, AIM)

- Group Method Data Handling
- A very simple but very good idea:

1. $D$ Typical learned function:
2. Usagest $=$ height -3.1 sqrt(weight) + q4 $\quad 4.3$ income / (cos (NumCars)) Sis function and loop.
3. Use cross-validation to discover whether any of a set of familiar functions (log, exp, sin etc) applied to any previous basis function helps. If so, add it as a basis function and loop.
4. Else stop

## 3: Cascade Correlation

- A super-fast form of Neural Network learning
- Begins with 0 hidden units
- Incrementally adds hidden units, one by one, doing ingeniously little recomputation between each unit addition


## Cascade beginning

Begin with a regular dataset

| Nonstandard notation: |
| :--- |
| $-\times(i)$ is the $i^{\prime}$ 'th attribute |
| $x^{(i)}$ is the value of the $i$ 'th |
| attribute in the k'th record |

## Cascade first step

Begin with a regular dataset
Find weights $w^{(0)}$; to best fit $Y$.
I.E. to minimize

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{R}\left(y_{k}-y_{k}^{(0)}\right)^{2} \text { where } y_{k}^{(0)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}^{(0)} x_{k}^{(j)}
$$

| $X^{(0)}$ | $X^{(1)}$ |  | $X^{(m)}$ | $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $X^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ |  | $X^{(m)}{ }_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $X^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $X^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ |  | $X^{(m)}{ }_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ |
|  |  | $\ldots$ |  | . |

## Consider our errors...

Begin with a regular dataset
Find weights $w^{(0)}$, to best fit $Y$.
I.E. to minimize

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{R}\left(y_{k}-y_{k}^{(0)}\right)^{2} \text { where } y_{k}^{(0)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}^{(0)} x_{k}^{(j)}
$$

Define $e_{k}^{(0)}=y_{k}-y_{k}^{(0)}$

| $X^{(0)}$ | $X^{(1)}$ | $\ldots$ | $X^{(m)}$ | $Y$ | $Y^{(0)}$ | $E^{(0)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{m})_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{m})_{2}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |

## Create a hidden unit...

Find weights $u^{(0)}$; to define a new basis function $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})$ of the inputs.
Make it specialize in predicting the errors in our original fit:
Find $\left\{u^{(0)}{ }_{i}\right\}$ to maximize correlation between $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{E}^{(0)}$ where

$$
H^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})=g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j}^{(0)} x^{(j)}\right)
$$

| $X^{(0)}$ | $X^{(1)}$ | $\ldots$ | $X^{(m)}$ | $Y$ | $Y^{(0)}$ | $E^{(0)}$ | $H^{(0)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $X^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X^{(m)}{ }_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $e^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $h^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ |
| $X^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $X^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X^{(m)}{ }_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $e^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $h^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ |
|  |  | . | . | . | . | . | . |

## Cascade next step

Find weights $w^{(1)} p^{(1)}$ o to better fit $Y$.
I.E. to minimize

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{R}\left(y_{k}-y_{k}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \text { where } y_{k}^{(1)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}^{(0)} x_{k}^{(j)}+p_{j}^{(0)} h_{k}^{(0)}
$$

| $\chi^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}$ |  | $X^{(m)}$ | Y | $\mathrm{Y}(0)$ | $E^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}$ | $Y^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $x^{(m)}{ }_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $x^{(m)} 2$ | $y_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ |
|  | . | : | : | : |  |  | : | : |

## Now look at new errors

Find weights $w^{(1)} p^{(1)}{ }_{0}$ to better fit $Y$.

| Define $e_{k}^{(1)}=y_{k}-y_{k}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X^{(0)}$ | $X^{(1)}$ |  | $X^{(m)}$ | Y | $Y(0)$ | $E^{(0)}$ | $H^{(0)}$ | $Y^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{(1)}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{x}^{(m)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $h^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ |  | $x^{(m)}{ }_{2}$ | $y_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)} 2$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)} 2$ | $h^{(0)} 2$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)} 2$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1)} 2$ |
| . | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |

## Create next hidden unit...

Find weights $u^{(1)}{ }_{i} v^{(1)}{ }_{0}$ to define a new basis function $\mathrm{H}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x})$ of the inputs.

Make it specialize in predicting the errors in our original fit:

Find $\left\{\mathrm{u}^{(1)}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{v}^{(1)}{ }_{0}\right\}$ to maximize correlation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum_{j=1} u_{j}^{\prime}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{(1)} h^{(0)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}$ | , | X(m) | Y | Y(0) | $E^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}$ | $Y^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{(1)}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $x^{(m)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1))_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1))_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(1)_{1}}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{(1)} 2$ | $\ldots$ | $x^{(m)} 2$ | $y_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $y^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1)_{2}}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{(1)} 2$ |
|  |  | : | : | : | : | : |  | : | : | . |

## Cascade n'th step

Find weights $w^{(n)} p^{(n)}$ j to better fit $Y$.
I.E. to minimize

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{R}\left(y_{k}-y_{k}^{(n)}\right)^{2} \text { where } y_{k}^{(n)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}^{(n)} x_{k}^{(j)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{j}^{(n)} h_{k}^{(j)}
$$



## Now look at new errors

Find weights $w^{(n)} p^{(n)}$ to better fit $Y$.
I.E. to minimize

Define $e_{k}^{(n)}=y_{k}-y_{k}^{(n)}$

| $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{m})}$ | Y | $\mathrm{Y}^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{(0)}$ | $\mathrm{Y}(1)$ | $\mathrm{E}^{(1)}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{(1)}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{E}^{(\mathrm{n})}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{m})}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~h}^{(0)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~h}^{(1)}{ }_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{n})}{ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{n})}{ }_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}^{(\mathrm{m})}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~h}^{(0)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~h}^{(1)}{ }_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{n})}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{n})}{ }_{2}$ |
| $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $\vdots$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |

## Create n'th hidden unit...

Find weights $u^{(n)}{ }_{i} v^{(n)}$ to define a new basis function $H^{(n)}(x)$ of the inputs.
Make it specialize in predicting the errors in our previous fit:
Find $\left\{u^{(n)}, v^{(n)}\right\}$ to maximize correlation between $H^{(n)}(x)$ and $E^{(n)}$ where

$$
H^{(n)}(\mathbf{x})=g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{j}^{(n)} x^{(j)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_{j}^{(n)} h^{(j)}\right)
$$



Continue until satisfied with fit...



## Example: Cascade Correlation for Classification



Copyright © 2001, Andrew W. Moore


Machine Learning Favorites: Slide 60


Training two spirals: Steps 2-12


## If you like Cascade Correlation...

## See Also

- Projection Pursuit

In which you add together many non-linear nonparametric scalar functions of carefully chosen directions Each direction is chosen to maximize error-reduction from the best scalar function

- ADA-Boost

An additive combination of regression trees in which the $n+1$ 'th tree learns the error of the n'th tree

## 2: Multilinear Interpolation

Consider this dataset. Suppose we wanted to create a continuous and piecewise linear fit to the data


## Multilinear Interpolation

Create a set of knot points: selected X-coordinates (usually equally spaced) that cover the data


## Multilinear Interpolation

We are going to assume the data was generated by a noisy version of a function that can only bend at the knots. Here are 3 examples (none fits the data well)


## How to find the best fit?

Idea 1: Simply perform a separate regression in each segment for each part of the curve


## How to find the best fit?

Let's look at what goes on in the red segment

$$
y^{e s t}(x)=\frac{\left(q_{3}-x\right)}{w} h_{2}+\frac{\left(q_{2}-x\right)}{w} h_{3} \text { where } w=q_{3}-q_{2}
$$











## 1: MARS

## - Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines

- Invented by Jerry Friedman (one of Andrew's heroes)


## - Simplest version:

Let's assume the function we are learning is of the following form:

$$
y^{e s t}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

Instead of a linear combination of the inputs, it's a linear combination of non-linear functions of individual inputs



## That's not complicated enough!

- Okay, now let's get serious. We'll allow arbitrary "two-way interactions":

$$
y^{e s t}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{t=k+1}^{m} g_{k t}\left(x_{k}, x_{t}\right)
$$

The function we're learning is allowed to be a sum of non-linear functions over all one-d and $2-d$ subsets of attributes

Can still be expressed as a linear combination of basis functions Thus learnable by linear regression Full MARS: Uses cross-validation to choose a subset of subspaces, knot resolution and other parameters.

## If you like MARS... <br> .See also CMAC (Cerebellar Model Articulated Controller) by James Albus (another of Andrew's heroes)

- Many of the same gut-level intuitions
- But entirely in a neural-network, biologically plausible way
- (All the low dimensional functions are by means of lookup tables, trained with a deltarule and using a clever blurred update and hash-tables)



## What You Should Know

- For each of the eight methods you should be able to summarize briefly what they do and outline how they work.
- You should understand them well enough that given access to the notes you can quickly reunderstand them at a moments notice
- But you don't have to memorize all the details
- In the right context any one of these eight might end up being really useful to you one day! You should be able to recognize this when it occurs.
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